UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/827,029  | 04/05/2001                       | James M. Barton      | 60097-0026          | 9852             |  |
|   | 7590 07/22/200<br>LERMO TRUONG & | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 2055 GATEWAY PLACE<br>SUITE 550<br>SAN JOSE, CA 95110 |                                  |                      | DANG, HUNG Q        |                  |  |
|   |                                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                                  |                      | 2621                |                  |  |
|   |                                  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                                  |                      | 07/22/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/827,029      | BARTON ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
| HUNG Q. DANG    | 2621          |  |  |

|  | HUNG Q. DANG  | 2621  |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                    |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.  |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appet for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request           |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |   |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>f).   | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FIL      | n.<br>.ED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on<br>hortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was amendments.</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |   |
| AMENDMENTS   | and prior to the date of filing a brief   | مط لمصموم مطاوم النب                                      |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);   |   |
| appeal; and/or   |   |   |   |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   |   | ected claims.   |   |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | . ,,  | mpliant Amandment (I                                      | OTOL 224)                               |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>  |   | inpliant Amendment (i                                     | 10L-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |   | imely filed amendmer                                      | t canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                           |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>131-286</u> .   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>vand was not earlier presented.  Se  | ıl and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a                          |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | າ of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache                                  | ed.                                     |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowand                                    | ce because:                             |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |
| /Thai Tran/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621  |   |   |   |
|  |   |   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations in claims 131, 144, 157, 170, 183, 196, 209, 222, 235, 248, 261, and 274 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.